

Comments on Newton-Schrödinger Equations

pertaining to [1] and relevant references therein. The Newton-Schrödinger equations (NSE) play a prominent role in alternative quantum theories (AQT)[2] and have served as a theoretical platform for experimentalists to investigate the interaction of quantum matter with classical gravity. The latest development is the application of a many-body NSE to macroscopic mechanical objects [1]. In this note we show that NSEs do not follow from general relativity (GR) and quantum field theory (QFT). We come to this conclusion from two considerations: 1) Working out a model (see [3]) with matter described by a scalar field interacting with weak gravity, solve the constraint, canonically quantize the system then take the nonrelativistic (NR) limit. This procedure is the exact analogue of deriving the NR limit of quantum electrodynamics (QED). 2) Taking the NR limit of the semiclassical Einstein equation (SCE), the central equation of relativistic semiclassical gravity (RSCG)[10], a fully covariant theory based on GR+QFT with self-consistent backreaction of quantum matter on the spacetime dynamics [5]. We first lay out two key physical differences:

A. In NSE the *gravitational self-energy* defines nonlinear terms in Schrödinger's equation. In Diosi's theory [6], the gravitational self-energy defines a stochastic term in the master equation. With GR+QFT gravitational self-energy only contributes to mass renormalization, at least in the weak field (WF) limit. The Newtonian interaction term at the field level induces a divergent self-energy contribution to the single-particle Hamiltonian. It does not induce nonlinear terms to the Schrödinger equation for any number of particles.

B. The *one-particle NS equation* appears as the Hartree approximation for N particle states as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Consider the ansatz $|\Psi\rangle = |\chi\rangle \otimes |\chi\rangle \dots \otimes |\chi\rangle$ for a N -particle system. At the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ the generation of particle correlations in time is suppressed and one gets an equation which reduces to the NS equation for χ [7, 8]. However, in the Hartree approximation, $\chi(\mathbf{r})$ is *not* the wave-function $\psi(\mathbf{r})$ of a single particle, but a *collective variable* that describes a system of N particles under a mean field approximation.

The *NSE* governing the wave function of a single particle $\psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is of the form $i\partial\psi/\partial t = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2\psi + m^2V_N[\psi]\psi$ Eq.(1), where $V_N(\mathbf{r})$ is the (normalized) gravitational (Newtonian) potential given by $V_N(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\int d\mathbf{r}' |\psi(\mathbf{r}', t)|^2 / |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|$. It satisfies the Poisson equation $\nabla^2 V_N = 4\pi G\mu$, with the mass density $\mu = m|\psi(\mathbf{r}, t)|^2$ being the nonrelativistic limit of energy density $\varepsilon = T_{00}$.

The *semiclassical Einstein equation* is of the form $G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G\langle\Psi|\hat{T}_{\mu\nu}|\Psi\rangle$ Eq.(2), where $\langle\hat{T}_{\mu\nu}\rangle$ is the expectation value of the stress energy density operator $\hat{T}_{\mu\nu}$ with respect to some quantum state $|\Psi\rangle$ of the field. In the weak field limit the spacetime metric has the form $ds^2 = (1 - 2V)dt^2 - d\mathbf{r}^2$, and the non-relativistic limit of the SCE Eq becomes $\nabla^2 V = 4\pi G\langle\hat{\varepsilon}\rangle$, where $\hat{\varepsilon} = \hat{T}_{00}$ is

the energy density operator. This can be solved to yield $V(\mathbf{r}) = -G \int d\mathbf{r}' \langle\hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{r}')\rangle / |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|$ Eq.(3). Note $\langle\hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{r}')\rangle$ in general contains ultraviolet divergences and need be regularized via known procedures.

Two key differences between the NR limit of SCE and NSE are: i) the energy density $\hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{r})$ is an operator, not a c-number. The Newtonian potential is not a dynamical object in GR, but subject to constraint conditions. ii) the state $|\Psi\rangle$ of a field is a N -particle wave function. Quantum matter is coupled to classical gravity as a mean-field theory, well defined only when N is sufficiently large.

The (misplaced) procedure leading one from SCE to a NS equation is the treatment of $m|\psi(\mathbf{r}, t)|^2$ as a mass density for a single particle, while in fact the mass density is a quantum operator $\hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{r}) = \hat{\psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{r})\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{r})$ in the QFT Hilbert space. Not treating these quantities as operators bears the consequences A and B.

To cross check these observations we have carried out an independent calculation following the same procedures as in obtaining the non-relativistic limit of QED. Treating the matter degrees of freedom in terms of quantum fields $\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{r})$ and $\hat{\psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{r})$, we obtain the Schrödinger equation for the fields $i\partial|\Psi\rangle/\partial t = \hat{H}|\Psi\rangle$, with $\hat{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int d\mathbf{r} \hat{\psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \nabla^2 \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{r}) - G \int \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' (\hat{\psi}^\dagger \hat{\psi})(\mathbf{r})(\hat{\psi}^\dagger \hat{\psi})(\mathbf{r}') / |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|$ Eq.(4). This equation is very different from the NSE when considering a single particle state. For single-particle states the gravitational interaction leads only to a mass-renormalization term (similar to mass renormalization in QED). This is point A we made above. Using the Hartree approximation to Eq. (4) leads to the same result as the NR WF limit of SCE, not NSE. (Point B). Details are in [9].

Our analysis via two routes based on GR+QFT shows that NSEs are not derivable from them. Coupling of classical gravity with quantum matter can only be via mean fields. There are no N -particle NSEs. Theories based on Newton-Schrödinger equations assume unknown physics.

C. Anastopoulos, *University of Patras, Greece* and B. L. Hu, *University of Maryland, USA*. February 12, 2014.

-
- [1] H. Yang et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 170401 (2013).
 - [2] A. Bassi et al, Rev. Mod. Phys. 85, 471- 527 (2013).
 - [3] C. Anastopoulos and B. L. Hu, Class. Quant. Grav. 30, 165007 (2013).
 - [4] B. L. Hu, in *EmQM2013*, Vienna. J. Phys. (Conf).
 - [5] B. L. Hu and E. Verdaguer, Liv. Rev. Rel. 11 (2008) 3
 - [6] L. Diosi, Phys. Lett. A105, 199 (1984).
 - [7] R. Alicki and J. Messer, J. Stat. Phys. 32, 299 (1983).
 - [8] S. L. Adler, Class. Quan. Grav. 30, 195015 (2013).
 - [9] C. Anastopoulos and B. L. Hu, (in preparation)
 - [10] There are four levels of semiclassical gravity theories [4] and one needs be careful which level one refers to when debating issues, better use the most developed level [5].